



Title	Fukushima, mental health and suicide
Author(s)	Maeda, Masaharu; Oe, Misari; Bromet, Evelyn; Yasumura, Seiji; Ohto, Hitoshi
Citation	Journal of epidemiology and community health. 70(9): 843-844
Issue Date	2016-11
URL	http://ir.fmu.ac.jp/dspace/handle/123456789/1581
Rights	© 2016, BMJ Publishing Group Ltd. This article has been accepted for publication in "Journal of epidemiology and community health, 2016" following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2015-207086 .
DOI	10.1136/jech-2015-207086
Text Version	author

1

2

3 Editorial

4

5 **Fukushima, Mental Health and Suicide**

6

7 Masaharu Maeda, M.D, Ph.D.^{1,2}; Misari Oe, M.D., Ph.D.³;8 Evelyn Bromet Ph.D.⁴; Seiji Yasumura, M.D, Ph.D.^{2, 5};9 and Hitoshi Ohto, M.D, Ph.D.²

10

11 1: Department of Disaster Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Fukushima Medical

12 University, Fukushima, Japan

13 2: Radiation Medical Science Center for the Fukushima Health Management Survey,

14 Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan

15 3: Department of Neuropsychiatry, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume,

16 Japan

17 4: Department of Psychiatry, Stony Brook University, New York, USA

18 5: Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, Fukushima Medical University,

19 Fukushima, Japan

20

21 ***Correspondence to:** Masaharu Maeda, MD, PhD

22 1 Hikarigaoka, Fukushima City, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan

23 Department of Disaster Psychiatry, Fukushima Medical University, School of Medicine

24 Tel: +81-24-547-1887; Fax: +81-24-547-1892

25 E-mail: masagen@fmu.ac.jp

26

27 **Key words:** Fukushima, suicide, depression, nuclear power plant accident, stigma

28 **Number of words (the text):** 1,172

29 **Number of references:** 12

30 **Number of tables or figures:** 0

31 **COI:** There is no conflicts of interest

32

33

34 On March 11 in 2011, a huge tsunami struck the Tohoku area in Japan. The extensive
35 damage to Fukushima Prefecture was further compounded by the severe accident of the
36 Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (FDNPP). Specifically, the cooling system of
37 the FDNPP was destroyed by the tsunami, leading to several explosions in the reactor
38 buildings and subsequent massive diffusion of radioactive substances. The Japanese
39 government decided to evacuate approximately 488,000 residents living within a 30-km
40 radius of the FDNPP in the first 5 days after the accident. In spite of the gradual lifting
41 of living restrictions within the evacuation zone, opinion surveys conducted by local
42 governments showed that numerous former residents hesitated to return to their
43 hometowns owing to fear of exposure to radioactivity, the delayed reconstruction and
44 decontamination processes, and unclear future of their hometown. For example, in
45 Naraha, a municipality where the entire territory was placed under evacuation orders
46 since 2011, the government recently lifted the living restriction. However, an opinion
47 survey of evacuees conducted by the Naraha government office about the question of
48 return revealed that only 8 per cent wished to return as soon as possible.[1] To date,
49 over 100,000 people have not returned to their homes in Fukushima Prefecture.
50 Moreover, three types of discordance arose in Fukushima [2], each of which has led to
51 dissonance within both families and the community: family members having different

opinions on the physical risk induced by radioactive exposure, interfamilial conflicts caused by differences in residential restrictions or compensations, frustrations between evacuees and people living in areas nearby about returning (e.g. Iwaki City). [2]

Fukushima Medical University (FMU) conducted a population-based survey of approximately 210,000 original residents living in the evacuation zone using self-administered questionnaires one year after the disaster.[3] The survey found that 65.7 % of the respondents had relocated more than three times since the disaster and 39.2 % of families had been separated.[3] Furthermore, 21.6% had possible post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and 14.6% had probable depression.[3] These prevalence rates were considerably higher than those of the general population of Japan even 4 years after the disaster.[4] Compared with other prefectures affected mainly by the tsunami, such as Iwate or Miyagi Prefecture, the mental health problems in Fukushima evacuees seemed to be more complex and included not only PTSD and depression, but also chronic anxiety and guilt, a global sense of loss, separation of families and communities as described above, and both public and self-stigma.[2]

Suicide is another public health issue of growing concern in Fukushima. The rate of suicide in Fukushima Prefecture exceeded the average rate for Japan even before the Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami. After the 2011 disaster, the standardized

suicide mortality ratio decreased initially (108 in 2010, 107 in 2011, 94 in 2012, and 96 in 2013) but then rose to 126 in 2014, thus exceeding the pre-disaster level.[5] In addition, despite the occurrence of less damage from the tsunami in Fukushima, the number of disaster-related suicides is much higher than rates in other prefectures sustaining greater damage from the tsunami (Japanese Cabinet Office, 2015). We note that the determination of “disaster-related” is made by a very rigorous process at the local governmental level (e.g. verification of evidences such as a last note or a statement of the bereaved), as it is necessary for approval of monetary compensation.

The patterns and mechanisms explaining the associations between natural or manmade disasters, and suicide and suicide-related behavior (thoughts, plans) are complex. Kölves et al. reviewed 42 empirical studies and found that the pattern was not consistent across disasters.[6] In some instances, the rate of suicide and non-fatal suicide behavior initially declined, as occurred in Fukushima (an effect thought to occur during the post-disaster “honeymoon phase”), and then was followed by a delayed increase.[6] Matsubayashi et al., examining the relationship between the severity of natural disasters in Japan and the suicide rates using prefecture-level panel data between 1982 and 2010, further found that a decrease in suicide was only found after less destructive disasters, while massive disasters tended to be associated with an increased

rate.[7] They attributed this difference to a weakening connectedness of social ties among community members. In contrast, little is known about suicide behaviors following manmade disasters. To the best of our knowledge, the only studies to date reported an excess in suicide 3 years and 7+ years after Chernobyl among clean-up workers from Estonia.[8, 9]

With regard to relationship between disasters and suicide behaviors, Kölves et al. advocated for long-term monitoring of mental health after these events.[6] FMU and other local programs have embraced this aspect of health programming and have provided multiple, pro-active mental health programs (e.g., phone and visit services, or educational and self-help group meetings) to the population. The initial decrease in suicide in Fukushima may reflect the activities of these programs, though the lagged increase suggests that more needs to be done.[5] There are many difficulties that the Fukushima people are facing: delays in and lack of clarity regarding benefits; ongoing rumors and public stigma about radiation; distrust in government, management, and even medical authorities; and friction among community members stemming from different risk perceptions of radiation. These psychosocial factors, which serve to reduce pre-disaster community bonds and resilience, contributed to PTSD and depression, which are critical risk factors for suicide.

To prevent suicide or other self-destructive behaviors such as excessive drinking, we are trying to establish new facilities and care networks providing targeted psychiatric interventions as well as to enhance existing resources. For example, the FMU mental health survey department has a team providing telephone intervention for survey responders at risk of PTSD, depression and anxiety disorders.[10] Furthermore, a new facility (the Fukushima Center for Disaster Mental Health) with 40 staff consisting of psychologists, social workers and district nurses has been actively working in the disaster area since 2012. It is providing outreach services, including psychological assessment and psychoeducation, and is becoming a core organization in the care network system in Fukushima. The long-term goals of these new programs are to improve mental health and prevent suicide in Fukushima.

There are four important challenges that remain. The first is the need to clarify the risk factors for suicide in Fukushima so that targeted prevention programs can be designed. Case-control studies built on psychological autopsy methodology would help fill this gap. The second is insufficient number of staff working with the affected population of Fukushima, and a situation that has resulted in staff burn-out.[2] The third is to provide intensive care focusing on people vulnerable to suicide, especially middle-aged male unemployed. The sudden increase of the suicide rate in Japan from 1998

124 occurred mainly in middle aged males and was conceivably related to a major change in
125 the employment system. [11] Finally, we should point out that people's stigma against
126 psychiatric disorders is still strong in Japan.[12] Thus, Fukushima people often hesitate
127 to receive psychiatric treatment, even if urgently needed. In order to provide effective
128 interventions for people at risk of suicide, further efforts to dispel the stigma is needed.
129
130

References

1. Naraha Town, Fukushima Prefecture, Reconstruction Agency: Resident Opinion Survey Result, 28 February 2014. Available from www.reconstruction.go.jp/topics/main-cat1/sub-cat1-4/ikoucyousa/20140228_02_ikouchousa_sokuhounaraha.pdf (accessed 15 Feb 2016).
2. Maeda M, Oe M. The Great East Earthquake: Tsunami and Nuclear Disaster. In: Cherry KE, ed. Traumatic Stress and Long-Term Recovery: Coping with Disasters and Other Negative Life Events. New York: Springer 2015:71-90.
3. Yabe H, Suzuki Y, Mashiko H, et al. Psychological distress after the Great East Japan Earthquake and Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident: results of a mental health and lifestyle survey through the Fukushima Health Management Survey in FY2011 and FY012. *Fukushima J Med Sci* 2014;60:57-67.
4. Maeda M, Yabe H, Yasumura S, et al. What about the mental health of adults? *J Fukushima Med Sci* 2014;60:209-210.
5. Ohto H, Maeda M, Yabe H, et al. Suicide rates in the aftermath of the 2011 earthquake in Japan. *Lancet* 2015;38:1727.
6. Kõlves K, Kõlves KE, De Leo D. Natural disasters and suicidal behaviours: a

- 149 systematic literature review. *J Affect Disord* 2013;146:1-14.
- 150 7. Matsubayashi T, Sawada Y, Ueda M. Natural disasters and suicide: evidence from
151 Japan. *Soc Sci Med* 2013;82:126-33.
- 152 8. Rahu K, Rahu M, Tekkel M, et al. Suicide risk among Chernobyl cleanup workers in
153 Estonia still increased: an updated cohort study. *Ann Epidemiol* 2006;16:917-919.
- 154 9. Rahu K, Auvinen A, Hakulinen T, et al. Chernobyl cleanup workers from Estonia:
155 follow-up for cancer incidence and mortality. *J Radiol Prot* 2013;33:395-411.
- 156 10. Yasumura S, Hosoya M, Yamashita S, et al. Study protocol for the Fukushima
157 Health Management Survey. *J Epidemiol* 2012;22:375-383.
- 158 11. Kondo N, Oh J. Suicide and karoshi (death from overwork) during the recent
159 economic crises in Japan: the impacts, mechanisms and political responses. *J*
160 *Epidemiol Community Health* 2010;64:649-650.
- 161 12. Kim Y. Great East Japan earthquake and early mental-health-care response.
162 *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2011;65:539-548.
163